

REMARKS

Consideration of the captioned application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks is requested.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite in the alternative by deleting the term “and” and inserting the term “or” and converting the terms “N-oxides”, “pharmaceutically acceptable salts” and “stereochemically isomeric forms” from the plural in the singular.

Claim 2 has been amended by inserting the appropriate values for “m” and “n” and by deleting the terms including “preferably”.

Claim 3 has been amended by inserting the appropriate values for “m” and “n” and by deleting terms including “preferably”.

Claim 4 has been amended by deleting the terms including “preferably”.

Claim 5 has been amended by deleting the terms including “preferably”.

Claim 6 has been amended by deleting the terms including “preferably”.

Claim 11 has been amended by inserting the definition of Q. Support for this amendment can be found on page 13, line 3 and 4.

Claims 12 to 19 have been amended by deleting the term including “preferably”.

Support for the amendments to the claims is found in the Specification generally and the claims as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

Claim rejections – 35 USC § 112

The Office Action states that Claims 1-8 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which application regards as the invention. (Office Action mailed September 27, 2007 at pages 2-3).

Applicants submit that the above-mentioned amendments to the claims address all the points that were raised under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph. The Markush recitation in claim 1 has been put in “the alternate and in singular”. The term “preferably” has been deleted in all the claims. Applicants have inserted the value for m

an n where appropriate. Applicants respectfully submit that they see no need to insert the value for m and n in claims 4 to 6 and 14 to 19, because these claims are clearly dependent respectively upon claims 1, 2, 3, and 4 wherein the value of m and n is clearly defined. Process claim 11 has been amended to include the definition of Q so as to obviate the basis for the rejection with respect to that claim.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance of claims 1-8 and 11-19 be issued in this case.

No additional fees are believed due. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or deficiencies due or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 10-0750/JAB1702USPCT/AGK.

Respectfully submitted,

/Alana G. Kriegsman/

Alana G. Kriegsman
Registration No. 47,747

Johnson & Johnson
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003
732.524.1495
Dated: January 29, 2007